EDITOR’S NOTE

This issue features our annual review of the past Term’s decisions of the United States Supreme Court. Our regular contributors, Professor Todd Pettys, of the University of Iowa College of Law, and Professor Charles Weis selberg, of Berkeley Law, review the civil and criminal decisions in separate articles. Once again, they have concentrated on the issues most of concern to judges in state courts, which represents the majority of the American Judges Association’s membership.

As both professors note, the big decisions this Term came on the civil side, so we start with Professor Pettys review of those cases—including the striking down of key parts of the Defense of Marriage Act and the Voting Rights Act. Other civil cases included ones determining how far a city can go in giving or withholding land use permits before being required to pay for the property; one limiting the ability of lawyers to use personal information from state motor-vehicle databases to solicit clients; and another ruling (added to three in the prior Term) reversing a state court that had failed to enforce arbitration agreements under the Federal Arbitration Act. Professor Pettys also reviews cases being heard in the October 2013 Term.

On the criminal side, Professor Weis selberg reviews several significant Fourth Amendment decisions, including one on obtaining and analyzing an arrested person’s DNA (approved 5-4), one determining that detailed evidence about the qualifications of a drug-sniffing dog wasn’t needed, and one holding that a dog sniff on the front porch of a home was a search subject to Fourth Amendment safeguards. Weis selberg also reviews a key Fifth Amendment case involving whether a defendant’s silence may be mentioned if a suspect doesn’t expressly invoke the right to silence in a non-custodial interview or interrogation (yes, 5-4). Professor Weis selberg also reviews cases being heard in the October 2013 Term, and he reviews some of the caselaw developments that have already taken place interpreting last Term’s key decisions.

Our third article looks at whether implicit bias affects jury decisions and, if so, what can be done about it. Researchers Jennifer Elek and Paula Hannaford-Agor review existing research on the effect of implicit bias on juries; they also review potential steps that might help to lessen that effect.

Our final article examines an interesting question involving the Model Code of Judicial Conduct, which was updated by the American Bar Association in 2007 to include the term “domestic partner” and to make judges subject to conflict-of-interest rules regarding domestic partners to the same extent they were previously subject to those rules with respect to spouses. Andrew Stankevich notes that some states have not adopted this provision, perhaps because the state isn't friendly to gay and lesbian rights. Stankevich suggests that this is a shortsighted approach with respect to this specific issue, since the failure to include domestic partners arguably makes less strict conflict-of-interest rules applicable to gay and lesbian judges.—Steve Leben
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