I n my recent book, Rehabilitating Lawyers: Principles of Therapeutic Jurisprudence for Criminal Law Practice,1 I sought to provide lawyers and other professionals engaged in the practice of criminal law a collection of practical and comprehensive materials that could help them achieve better results as well as greater satisfaction with their clients and cases. At least one of the contributors to the book also had some connection to a lawyer-assistance program—a program that helps lawyers having problems with drugs, alcohol, and mental illness. Such lawyers are now helped immensely by such programs in all states,2 and the lawyers in turn have much insight and experience to offer their clients and other professionals. Lawyer-assistance programs rely heavily on lawyer volunteers, themselves in recovery from a variety of impairing conditions. Many begin their recovery due to some bar disciplinary action, while others find help and assistance through lawyer-assistance programs voluntarily. Those who have received help often willingly “give back” by lending support to other impaired lawyers.3

These lawyers, especially those subject to law-license disciplinary proceedings, are themselves the “recipients” of a therapeutic jurisprudence (TJ) legal approach. Indeed, Dallas lawyer John McShane restricts his practice to TJ, and one important area of his work is the representation of professionals in license disciplinary matters.4 Other components of his practice include collaborative divorce and a criminal-defense practice limited to TJ matters, where he is involved not in trial work but only in the stages of plea bargaining and sentencing.5

An important section of the Rehabilitating Lawyers book is devoted to portraying the work of TJ criminal lawyers. One such case shows the successful result of an Ottawa law firm (attorney Michael Crystal and director of therapeutic solutions Karine Langley) working with a defendant’s mother in canvassing the neighborhood and producing an affidavit, with attached neighbor letters, supportive of a non-incarcerative community sentence. The neighbor letters asserted that they would not fear for their safety if the defendant were to live with his mother, and that they would be willing to report him if he were in violation of imposed probation conditions.6 Another essay details how another Canadian lawyer, David Boulding, an expert in fetal-alcohol spectrum disorder, works with affected young adult defendants in urging non-incarcerative penalties and in proposing, with the help of a family and community support system, truly workable conditions of probation.7 The final case, to be discussed in greater detail here, is a “jailhouse intervention” conducted by John McShane.8

The thrust of this so-called intervention was for McShane, at the behest of a family, to visit a jail inmate charged with arson and to try to arrange a conditional bond so that the inmate could be released to drug and mental-health treatment. The family had retained a well-known defense lawyer, and they then added McShane to the defense team because of his reputation as a therapeutic-jurisprudence criminal lawyer. In McShane’s words, “My job was to intervene with the defen-
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McShane's essay in *Rehabilitating Lawyers*, which contains copies of his briefs introducing the court to TJ and to McShane's role as a TJ lawyer, makes for spellbinding reading. He recounts his several interviews with the jailed defendant, culminating in the defendant agreeing to accept McShane as his lawyer. And, what's more, the story has a happy ending: success in treatment, a lenient plea-bargain agreement, a successfully served probationary period, and, as of the time McShane wrote, a clean and sober citizen, reunited with his family, holding a good job, and serving as an upright citizen.

But this case was trickier than it at first appears. For example, McShane was initially retained by the family to attempt an intervention with their jailed relative. The defendant himself was indigent, so any bond would have had to be paid by the family. But as a condition of undertaking the intervention, and because he had the luxury of being in private practice, which left him free to take or leave cases as he saw fit, McShane insisted the family pay only a “going to treatment” bond and not a “getting back on the street” bond.

Later, when McShane saw the defendant at the family’s request, McShane discussed the agonies of addiction and depression, and he suggested that treatment might offer another way to live. Eventually, McShane offered to represent the defendant in an effort to be released from jail and to be transferred to a treatment center. Again, McShane imposed a condition on the representation, one that the client ultimately accepted: his family would only post this bond and stay committed to it if he remained in the treatment center; leaving the treatment center against medical advice or being expelled from it would result in revocation of the bond and re-arrest.

For our purposes, there is an additional, crucially important aspect of McShane’s representation: McShane is himself a recovering alcoholic who, at the time of writing the brief in this particular jailhouse-intervention case, had been clean and sober for more than 26 years. In his brief, McShane recounts how he tells defendants—including the defendant in the case at hand—“how the attorney’s life was almost destroyed by alcohol and drugs, the availability of treatment for addiction, and the promise of a rich, full, and joyful life if recovery is embraced.”

McShane’s status as a long-term recovering alcoholic adds credibility to his court pleadings and surely adds credibility to his work with addicted clients. His conversations with defendants soften their resistance and add to their receptivity to or “readiness” for rehabilitation.

The crucial point is that McShane and lawyer-assistance-program lawyers have a special strength and skill to offer, and while lawyer-assistance-program lawyers have typically employed that skill assisting other lawyers in the throes of addiction and depression, that special strength and skill can also be of great assistance to a great many people caught up in the criminal-justice and mental-health systems. The goal of the present article, therefore, is to encourage lawyer-assistance-program lawyers to consider bringing therapeutic jurisprudence into their law practices, and to encourage judges to consider the ways in which lawyer-assistance-program lawyers might be helpful as well.

There are of course many ways of practicing therapeutic jurisprudence—in the civil as well as in the criminal and juvenile-law spheres—and adding a TJ practice of any type will likely constitute a service to clients and lead to greater satisfaction for the attorney. But given the clear link of alcoholism and addiction with criminal and juvenile issues, the strengths and skills of lawyer-assistance-program lawyers will likely shine through more easily in the practice of those areas. Of course, assistance-program lawyers in long-term recovery who, like McShane, feel comfortable talking about their personal histories, will immediately achieve an added credibility with courts and clients. But lawyers who wish to keep such personal matters personal may still have much to add: they will better understand addiction, alcoholism, mental illness; they will understand family dynamics, triggers and coping mechanisms, and attempts at deception; they will have knowledge about treatments, programs, services, and much more. And by bringing TJ into their law practices, they will be fulfilling the twelfth step of 12-step programs: to “practice these principles in all of our affairs.”
Traditional criminal lawyers can easily add a TJ dimension to their practice, and that simple “add-on” is likely to be transformative of their practice and their approach with clients. But as McShane’s criminal-law practice clearly demonstrates, one need not be a criminal trial lawyer to practice TJ criminal law—so long as cases are properly and carefully investigated and creative, collaborative arrangements are made with criminal trial lawyers to explore all relevant issues and to litigate cases in appropriate instances.\(^\text{18}\) Other areas of practice may require special arrangements with public-defender offices—where private lawyers may be designated for some cases as “special deputy public defenders.” These arrangements may be made in cases of civil commitment of the mentally ill—a particularly fertile area for performing useful pro bono work and, at the same time, developing excellent TJ-type skills and sensitivities.\(^\text{19}\) So too, they can be made in misdemeanor cases and in cases in drug-treatment court, dependency drug court, juvenile drug court, mental-health court, and in community courts.\(^\text{22}\) In some instances, arrangements may also be made with law-school clinical programs,\(^\text{23}\) where lawyer-assistance-program attorneys might participate and supervise students in TJ-related cases.

Indeed, these sorts of special arrangements need to be made not only to accommodate lawyer-assistance-program attorneys wishing to work in TJ matters but also to open TJ practice to lawyers in general—perhaps especially to recent law-school graduates. Since representation in problem-solving courts is overwhelmingly engaged in by public-defender offices, a young lawyer wishing to get some TJ experience will be quite limited in opportunities. Special arrangements with public-defender offices could allow for a pro bono opportunity for private lawyers and could thereby help to ease the crushing caseloads of those defense offices. Further, if the volunteer lawyers are drawn not only from lawyer-assistance programs but are broadly based, the confidentiality of lawyer-assistance-program status may be preserved for those who wish to preserve it. The next step, therefore, is for interested persons and groups—including the courts and the bar—to brainstorm about how these practice avenues may be opened to interested lawyers, and to provide training, materials, and overall encouragement to lawyers—those in lawyer-assistance programs and others—who wish to serve others in achieving a rich and full life.\(^\text{24}\)
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